

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/827,808	04/06/2001	Magnus Karlsson	TI-32582	6865
7590 12/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Bobby D. Slaton Jackson Walker L.L.P. 2435 North Central Expressway, Suite 600 Richardson, TX 75080			PORTKA, GARY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	
		DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/827,808	KARLSSON ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary J Portka	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of this app : (1) a timely filed amendment who beal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tin	lication. A proper reply to a hich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail to event, however, will the statutory period for reply exposed for reply exponents. The period for reply exponents of the statutory period for reply exponents. The period for reply exponents of the statutory period for reply exponents. The period for reply exponents of the fill the statutory period for reply exponents. The period for the statutory of the	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for pire later than SIX MONTHS from the man NAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 od of extension and the corresponding at the shortened statutory period for reconflicted later than three months after the status of the shortened status of	ailing date of the final rejection.  THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension amount of the fee. The appropriate extension ply originally set in the final Office action; or			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella     The state of Appeal was filed on Appella     The state of Appeal was filed on  37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	nt's Brief must be filed within the				
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	` ''				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search	h (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Not		,			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	aterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number o	of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	jection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		nsidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>10-16</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: 2-5 and 19.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,6-9,17,18 and 20-22.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	approved or b) disapproved b	y the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<b>)</b>			
10. Other:					

Aury Wortka
Gary J Portka
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2188

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1 and 17 changes the first queues to only receiving and the second to receiving and storing that received by the first according to a priority, which changes the scope; it is futher not apparent how this supports the argument made for example at page 7, the first page of the remarks. Examiner maintains that the statement that the queuing elements 20-27 "are not queues configured to store data" is incorrect; these queuing elements comprise the multiple queues shown in Fig. 2..